UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

ALFRED T. SAPSE, and RALPH M. CONTI, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:10cr370 KJD-RJJ

<u>ORDER</u>

Presently before the Court is Defendant Ralph M. Conti's Motion *in Limine* (#123) to prohibit the Government from offering evidence of co-conspirator statements against Conti until independent evidence of the existence of a conspiracy is offered. The Government filed a response in opposition (#124) to which Conti replied (#130). Conti also filed an Addendum (#132) to his motion.

Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E) states that a statement offered against an opposing party that was made by the party's coconspirator during and in furtherance of a conspiracy is not hearsay. An accused's knowledge of and participation in an alleged conspiracy are preliminary facts that must be established before extrajudicial statements of a co-conspirator can be introduced into

1	evidence. See United States v. Silverman, 861 F.2d 571, 576 (9th Cir. 1988)(citing Bourjaily v.
2	<u>United States</u> , 483 U.S. 171 (1987)).
3	The Government asserts that it will not offer any co-conspirator statements against Conti, and
4	that if it does it will comply with the Federal Rules of Evidence. Thus, the motion is granted.
5	However, Defendant is cautioned that he must still object at trial to any statement that is offered
6	without compliance with Rule 801, or proof of Defendant Conti's knowledge of or participation in
7	the alleged conspiracy, because the Court has not definitively ruled to exclude any specific statement
8	See Fed. R. Ev. 103(b).
9	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Ralph M. Conti's Motion in Limine
10	(#123) is GRANTED .
11	DATED this 29 th day of October 2012.
12	
13	
14	Kent J. Dawson
15	United States District Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	